Development and Performance Evaluation of Automated Cassava-Grating Machine

Abudu Muhammed[1], Ososomi Sunday[1], Omoakhalen A.I[1], Imuran A.S[2]

1. Department of Mechanical Engineering, Auchi Polytechnic, Auchi, Nigeria
2. Department of Mechanical Engineering, Federal Polytechnic, Offa, Nigeria
Corresponding Author email: mmabdul53@yahoo.com

Paper Information	A B S T R A C T
	Performance and economic returns of developed automated cassava-grating machine was investigated. The equipment has a realistic functional efficiency of 91.5% and 88.4% for manually and electrically powered operation with the discharge capacity 4.04 and 3.66 tons per day respectively. Simulation of its economic analysis shows between $2.6 and $3.0 could be saved while using automated cassava grater over manual system for 1-ton of cassava tuber. At 0.01 and 0.05 level of significance, it showed that the machine has a good economic potential over the convection method of grating. It saves time and labour requirements by a factor of 0.68. Design of a Cassava grating machine is dual-mode in operation; it is powered both manually and mechanically. This feature makes it useful in the rural areas where there is erratic or no power supply. Cassava is fed into machine through the hopper down to the granting drum, which rotates at a pre-set constant speed. Cassava is an important starchy root crop of the tropical world, the need to mechanize its growing and processing cannot be overemphasised.

Key words: *Cassava, Grating, Convectional, Efficiency, Economic, Potential, Ton, Discharge, grating machine, Powered, hopper*

Introduction

Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) is a very important crop in Nigeria deriving from the extensive use of the various products and by-products as staples to most Nigerians. The consumption of cassava cuts across all parts of the country. It is a major source of carbohydrates in human diet, being processed into Garri, fatal and typical as a constituent for human food. Recently other areas of uses of cassava are being implored. It is also being used as starch [Adegeye, 1985]. The crop tolerance makes it more popular and now replacing yam in some part of Nigeria. The sweet varieties could be boiled for human consumption. Its adaptability to climatic and soil conditions even in marginal soils has endeared cassava to most people that have to do continuous cultivation on limited available land. Cassava is a shrubby, tropical, perennial plant that is not well known in the temperate zone. For most people, cassava is most commonly associated with tapioca. The plant grows tall, sometime reaching 15 feet, with leaves varying in shape and size. The edible parts are tuberous root and leaves. The tuber (root) is somewhat dark brown in color and grows up to 2 feet long. Cassava (manihot esculenta), has its origin as South America, presumably Eastern Brazil. It thrives better in poor soils them any other major food plants.

Nigeria has been world-leading producer of cassava with an estimated annual production of 2.6 million tons from an estimated area of 1% million hectares of land [Agbetoye, 2005] and [CBN, 1994]. The major problem of cassava is that it is extremely perishable and the harvested tuber must be process to curb post-harvest losses [Davies, 1991]. According to food and Agriculture Organization [5] the estimated industrial cassava use was approximately, 16 percent of cassava root production and was utilized as an industrial raw material in 2001 in Nigeria. Around the world, cassava is a vital stable for about 500 million people. Cassava's starching roots produce more food energy permit of land than any other staple crop.

Nigeria is currently the largest cassava producer in the world with estimated annual production of about 40 million metric tons. About 90% of this is however, consumed as food. The country is yet to fully harness the socio-economic potential of cassava that world translate to higher ranking of cassava next to petroleum as major contributor to the Goss Domestic Product (GDP). However, the need to mechanize cassava processing is enormous. The convectional cassava processing has a number of disadvantages and requires human energy and time and this has necessitated the design and development of mechanically-operated cassava grating machine. It was revealed that cassava peeling is still largely done manually; however, women and teenage girls are normally involved in the manual peeling of the tuber [Eagleston,1992]. The rate could be as high as 350 kg/day of 8 hour/person [Eagleston, 1992]. The process is slow and labour intensive which invariably leads to low productivity. [FAO, 2007] reported that some essential tuber properties

such as group average diameter, penetration force per unit length and peel thickness should be determined in order to design an efficient mechanical peeling system. Olukunle and Adesina (2004) reported that the maximum machine capacity was 1000 kg/h. Mechanized cassava grater has been a point of focus for the research as far back as 1960 and effort at improving grating efficiency and mode of operation are still on going. Therefore, the research study is focused on generating design-based output and carrying out performance evaluation on the mechanical cassava grating in order to improve on its functional efficiency for maximum optimization.

Materials and Methods
Design Considerations

The general consideration in designing grating machine is to create rubost functional efficiency which the machine can easily be operated, transported, assembled and dissembled machine. Each of the component parts such as hopper, grating drum and others were fabricated with high quality materials which cannot easily corrode or worn-out. Design expressions for the following component parts are as follows:

Hopper:
The Volume of which was obtained as follow:
$$V = L \cdot B \cdot H \ (m^3) \tag{1}$$
Where V = Volume of the hopper, L = Hopper's length, B = Hopper's breath, and H = Hopper's height.
The mass of Hopper is given as:
$$M = \rho \cdot V \ (kg) \tag{2}$$
where ρ = density of material[1]

Shaft design
For solid shaft having little or no axial load, the diameter is given by:
$$d^3 = 16/\pi S_s \, ((K_b M_b)^2 + (K_t M_t)^2)^{1/2} \tag{2}$$

Where M_t = torsional moment, M_b = bending moment, K_b = combined shock and fatigue applied to bending moment (1.5), K_t = combine shock & fatigue applied to torsional moment (1.0), and S_s = allowable stress. For a shaft transmitting power (kW) at a rotational speed (rpm) the transmitting torque is given as:
$$M_t = Power/Speed \tag{3}$$

The speed ratio of the larger pulley on the machine shaft to the smaller pulley on the electric motor is givens as:
$$N_1 \, D_1 = \ N_2 \, D_2 \tag{4}$$

Where N_1 = speed of electric motor, N_2 = speed of machine driving shaft, D_1 = diameter of motor pulley, and D_2 = diameter of machine drive pulley.

Performance evaluation of the machine
Cassava tuber was fed into the hopper one by one and the results were evaluated for each pass. The machine operational parameters such as throughput capacity, peeling efficiency, mechanical damage, quality performance efficiency and peel retention were determined using the following expressions [8].

Grater efficiency
The machine was designed to accommodate a maximum of 4 tubers of 1.1kg each in its hopper. The functional capacity of the hopper is 5.0 kg.
Therefore, the functional efficiency of the machine is expressed as follows:

$$GFE = \frac{CPOG}{LCTG} * 100 \tag{5}$$

Where;
CPOG = Cassava pulp output from the grating machine
LCTG = Loaded cassava tuber from the grating machine

Machine capacity
The discharge rate of the machine is determined using the expression in equation (2) as follows:

$$QRM = \frac{TNT}{Tt} \left(\frac{kg}{min}\right) \tag{6}$$

Where;
QRM = Discharge rate of the machine (Kg/min)
TNT = Total number of tubers (Kg)
Tt   = Time taken (min)

The machine capacity is estimated using the relationship in equation (3):

$$MC = QM \tag{7}$$

Where;
MC is the machine capacity (Kg/hr)
Q is the discharge rate
M is the mass of cassava tuber.

Throughput capacity Tc (kg/h) :

$$\frac{W}{T}$$

Where Wt = Weight of cassava fed into the machine
(kg) and T = Time taken for the cassava and its peel to completely leave the machine (h) [8]

$$P = \frac{Mpc}{Ms} \tag{8}$$

Where P is the proportion by weight of peel Mpc is the weight of peel collected and Ms is the weight of sample
Plate 1 and fig,1 show developed cassava grating machine during operation and its component specification.

Table 1: Machine specification

Capacity:	449kg/hour
Mode:	5.5 HP Petrol Engine or Electric
Feature:	Production of fine marsh suitable for the production of high quality cassava flour and starch grain. Robust, Portable machine. Ease of maintenance and operation. Economy of operation.

Economic evaluation

The economic evaluation of using the cassava-grating machine was evaluated as follows: The service capacity per day is evaluated as follows:

$$SCD = 0.001Q \tag{9}$$

Where,
SCD   = Service capacity/day
Q   = Discharge rate
Factor 0.001   = Working hour (9hrs.) per day
Therefore, the machine service capacity per year is evaluated as follows:

$$SCY = 0.43Q \tag{10}$$

Where,
SCD = Service capacity/year;
Factor 0.43   = Working hour (9hrs.) per day for 365-day.

Note:
1.	Frame	8.	Peeler Cover	15.	Pulley Cover
2.	Hopper Base	9.	Main Shaft	16.	V-Belt
3.	Outlet	10.	Grater Cover	17.	Driver Pulley
4.	Outlet Cover	11.	Driving Mechanism	18.	Foot Rubber
5.	Grater	12.	Feeder	19.	Electric Motor
6.	Peeler	13.	Bearing	20.	Motor Base
7.	Mounting	14.	Driven Pulley		

Figure1: Component parts of grating machine

Figure 2: Section through of cassava grater

Figure 3: Different cassava processings

Plate.1: Cassava grater during operation

Result and discussion
Materials selection and cost

Creating equipment that perform their function effectively, safely, at acceptable cost must be well structured. The set of properties for a particular material is called the "material attributes" which includes both structured and non-

structured information on the material –materials selection involves seeking the best match between the design requirements and the materials attributes. Materials were selected based on the function each of the components was designed to perform. The specification and cost of each selected component is shown in below:

Table.2: Material selection

S/N	Qty	Description	Size	Amount ($)
1	1	Galvanized steel 2mm	750 x 2250	12
2	1	Metal shaft	30 x 500mm	15
3	1	Wood	250 x 400mm	9.2
4	2	2 inches angle bar	2mm x 2mm	9.0
5	2	Bearings(pillow block)	6207	13.4
6	2	Bearing cases		11.0
7	1 packet	Electrodes	G12	6.5
8	1	Cutting disc		10.0
9	1	Grater		9.5
10	40	Nails	3	2.5
11	12	Bolts and Nuts	M16	2.5
12	1	Electric motor	220-420v	74
13	2	Pulley		15.5
14	2	Sand paper		2.5
15	1	Wooden plank	2 x 12 ft	6
16	1	Flat belt		5.5
17		Miscellaneous		5.5
			Total	28,750

Source: Field study, 2008

Analysis and evaluation of cassava grating cost

Production cost

The production cost of the machine is the sum of the total material cost, labour, and transportation/handling cost. The three cost variables are mathematically related in equation (4) as follows:

$$PCM = (tMc + tLc + tTc)\mu \tag{12}$$

Where,
PCM = Production cost of the machine
tMc = Total cost of materials
tT = cost of transportation/materials handling
μ = cost index (0.99)

Materials selection is a central aspect of design. Converting selected materials to developed cassava grating machine, some input expenses on the part of human operation and component machinery cost would have been expended. Table 3 shows the cost analysis

Table 3: Cost analysis

S/N	Description	Amount($)
1	Cutting, welding and nailing	100
2	Machining	120
3	Assembling	140
	Total	**360**

Source: Field study, 2008

Cassava tubers of varying sizes were used for experimental operation. Table 4 shows various weights of the cassava tuber used for the simulation. Table 5 shows the output of cassava grating machine using various number, size and weight of cassava tuber.

Table 4: Weight of cassava

S/N	Mass of Tuber (KG)
1	1.4
2	1.78
3	1.20
4	1.60
5	1.00
6	0.89
7	1.48
Total	9.35

Source: Field study, 2008

Table 5: Output of cassava grating machine

S/N	NTP	TG(s)	MGCT
1	1	11	1.40
2	2	12	1.78
3	1	10	1.20
4	2	12	1.60
5	1	0.9	1.00
6	1	10	8.89
7	2	11	1.48
Total	13	75.5	9.35kg

Source: Field study, 2008

Note: NTP = No of cassava tuber   TG = Grating time (s)   MGCT = Mass of grated cassava tuber

The output from the table 4 above shows that 13 tubers of cassava were grated within 76 seconds. The results revealed that as the speed of the machine increased from 120 – 550 rpm, throughput capacity increased from 70 – 146 kg/h depending on the size and weight of cassava.

Mechanical damage increased as the speed of the machine increased.

Conclusion

The functional efficiency and economic returns of cassava grating machine were evaluated using different technical-based techniques. It was deduced that the equipment has a grating and working capacity of 509 kg/hr of cassava tuber to pulp and 10-hour per day as operation period. It shows that the instrument grates 5,041(4.04 tons) kg/day and 1,857,8504kg (1857.85 tons) per year respectively. Automated cassava grating machine greatly reduces drudgery and saves time over the conventional method of grating. In addition operation cost of $2.6 is being saved over the convectional system for grating 1-ton of cassava tuber. The equipment is very easy to operate and the maintenance is very low because of its indigenous technological-based and has realistic functional efficiency of 90.5%. In view of this, the machine is recommended for both farmers and cassava-based manufacturing industries for higher grating efficiency.

References

Adegeye A, Ditto S.1985. Essential of Agricultural Economics. Ibadan. Impacts Publishers Nigeria ltd. Pp 13-45

Agbetoye O, Oyedele A.2005. Development and Testing of a Dual Grain Sifter. Proceeding of the Nigerian Institution of Agricultural Engineers, NIAE/2005/192, pp 192-193

CBN (Central Bank of Nigeria)(1994). National Consumer Survey. Central Bank of Nigeria , Abuja.

Davies RM, Olatunji MO, Burubai W.2008. Mechanization of cassava processing in Iwo Local Government area of Osun State,Nigeria, World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 4(3) pp 341-345

Davies RM.1991. A survey of cassava Processing Machinery in Oyo State of Nigeria, Project Report, Agricultural Engineering Department, University of Ibadan, Nigeria

EaglestonGB, Okoye MB, Jeon VM.1992.Traditional African Methods of Cassava Processing and Utilisation and Research needs. In tropical Root Crops Productionof Roots Crops-Based Industries. Pp4-6

FAO. 2007.(Food and Agricultural Organisation) of United Nations (2004). Annual statistics. Rome, Italy. International institute for Tropical Agriculture(I.I.T.A.) .1990. Cassava in Tropical Africa, a reference manual, pp83- 100

Jimoh O, Olukunle OJ .2012. An Automated Cassava Peeling System for the Enhancement of Food Security in Nigeria: Pp 12-14

Muhammed BN.2012.Development of Cassava Grating Machine: A Dual-Operational Mode: Pp 6-8

Olukunle OJ, Adesina A. 2004. Development of a hand-fed cassava peeling machine. Research product exhibited, First Nigeria University and Development Fair, Abuja, Nigeria (2004)